0lr0133

## By: Chair, Judiciary Committee (By Request – Departmental – Office of Crime Prevention, Youth, and Victim Services)

Introduced and read first time: February 7, 2020 Assigned to: Judiciary

## A BILL ENTITLED

#### 1 AN ACT concerning

#### 2 Justice Reinvestment Act – Conditions of Release – Technical Revocation Caps

- 3 FOR the purpose of providing that a certain presumption may be rebutted if a certain 4 commissioner or court finds and states on the record at a certain time that adhering  $\mathbf{5}$ to certain limits would create a risk to a certain parolee, inmate, probationer, or 6 defendant; authorizing a certain commissioner or court to take certain actions on 7 finding that adhering to certain limits would create a risk to a certain parolee, inmate, probationer, or defendant; requiring a certain commissioner to consider 8 9 certain factors when determining whether adhering to certain limits would create a 10 risk to a certain parolee, inmate, probationer, or defendant; requiring a certain 11 commissioner to find and state on the record certain matters before imposing a 12certain period of imprisonment under certain circumstances; requiring a certain 13designee who may conduct a certain assessment to be certified or licensed, rather 14 than certified and licensed; clarifying the maximum sentence that can be imposed 15for a certain violation; and generally relating to justice reinvestment and conditions 16 of release.
- 17 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 18 Article Correctional Services
- 19 Section 7–401 and 7–504
- 20 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 21 (2017 Replacement Volume and 2019 Supplement)
- 22 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,
- 23 Article Criminal Law
- 24 Section 5–601(e)(1)
- 25 Annotated Code of Maryland
- 26 (2012 Replacement Volume and 2019 Supplement)
- 27 BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

EXPLANATION: CAPITALS INDICATE MATTER ADDED TO EXISTING LAW. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.



1 Article – Criminal Procedure  $\mathbf{2}$ Section 6-223 and 6-224 3 Annotated Code of Maryland 4 (2018 Replacement Volume and 2019 Supplement) SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND,  $\mathbf{5}$ That the Laws of Maryland read as follows: 6 7**Article – Correctional Services** 7 - 401. 8 9 If a parolee is alleged to have violated a condition of parole, one commissioner (a) 10 shall hear the case on revocation of the parole at the time and place that the Commission 11 designates. 12(b) (1)Each individual charged with a parole violation is entitled to be 13represented by counsel of the individual's choice or, if eligible, counsel provided by the Public Defender's office. 14 15(2)The Commission shall keep a record of the hearing. 16 (c) If the commissioner finds from the evidence that the parolee has violated a 17condition of parole, the commissioner may take any action that the commissioner considers 18 appropriate, including: 19subject to subsection (d)(1) of this section, revoking the order of (1)(i) parole; 2021(ii) setting a future hearing date for consideration for reparole; and 22remanding the individual to the Division of Correction or local (iii) 23correctional facility from which the individual was paroled; or 24(2)continuing parole: 25(i) without modification of its conditions; or 26(ii) with modification of its conditions, including a requirement that 27the parolee spend all or part of the remaining parole period in a home detention program. 28(d) Subject to paragraph (4) of this subsection, if an order of parole is (1)29revoked due to a technical violation, as defined in § 6–101 of this article, the commissioner 30 hearing the parole revocation may require the individual to serve a period of imprisonment 31of: 32(i) for a first violation, not more than 15 days;

HOUSE BILL 1217

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

1 (ii) for a second violation, not more than 30 days; and  $\mathbf{2}$ for a third violation, not more than 45 days. (iii) 3 Subject to paragraph (3) of this subsection and further action by the (2)4 Commission, if the order of parole is revoked for a fourth or subsequent technical violation or a violation that is not a technical violation, the commissioner hearing the parole  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 revocation, in the commissioner's discretion, may require the inmate to serve any unserved 7 portion of the sentence originally imposed. 8 (3)An inmate may not receive credit for time between release on parole 9 and revocation of parole if: 10 the inmate was serving a sentence for a violent crime when (i) 11 parole was revoked; and 12the parole was revoked due to a finding that the inmate (ii) 13committed a violent crime while on parole. 14(4)There is a rebuttable presumption that the limits on the period (i) 15of imprisonment that may be imposed for a technical violation established in paragraph (1) 16 of this subsection are applicable. 17(ii) The presumption may be rebutted if a commissioner finds and states on the record, after consideration of the following factors, that adhering to the limits 18 19 on the period of imprisonment established under paragraph (1) of this subsection would create a risk to public safety, THE PAROLEE, a victim, or a witness: 20211. the nature of the parole violation; 222. the facts and circumstances of the crime for which the 23parolee was convicted; and 243. the parolee's history. 25(iii) On finding that adhering to the limits would create a risk to 26public safety, THE PAROLEE, a victim, or a witness under subparagraph (ii) of this 27paragraph, the commissioner may: 28direct imposition of a longer period of imprisonment than 1. 29provided in paragraph (1) of this subsection, but no more than the time remaining on the 30 original sentence; or commit the parolee to the Maryland Department of Health 312. 32for treatment under § 8–507 of the Health – General Article.

4

1 (IV) 1. WHEN DETERMINING WHETHER ADHERING TO THE  $\mathbf{2}$ LIMITS UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION WOULD CREATE A RISK TO THE 3 PAROLEE, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING FACTORS: 4 A. **WHETHER** LESS THERE IS Α RESTRICTIVE  $\mathbf{5}$ ALTERNATIVE TO IMPRISONMENT THAT WILL PROTECT THE PAROLEE, INCLUDING 6 **APPROPRIATE TREATMENT IN THE COMMUNITY:** 7 В. IF TREATMENT IS AVAILABLE, WHETHER THE 8 PAROLEE IS AMENABLE TO TREATMENT; AND 9 **C**. WHETHER A LONGER PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT 10 WILL INCREASE THE RISK TO THE PAROLEE. 11 2. BEFORE IMPOSING Α LONGER PERIOD OF 12IMPRISONMENT UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (III)1 OF THIS PARAGRAPH BECAUSE 13ADHERING TO THE LIMITS ON THE PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT ESTABLISHED UNDER 14PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION WOULD CREATE A RISK TO THE PAROLEE, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL FIND AND STATE ON THE RECORD THAT: 1516 A. THE COMMISSIONER HAS CONSIDERED THE FACTORS 17LISTED IN SUBSUBPARAGRAPH 1 OF THIS SUBPARAGRAPH; AND **B**. 18A LONGER PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT IS THE BEST 19 ALTERNATIVE FOR REDUCING THE RISK TO THE PAROLEE. 20[(iv)] (V) A finding under subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph or an 21action under subparagraph (iii) of this paragraph is subject to appeal under Title 12, 22Subtitle 3 or Subtitle 4 of the Courts Article. 23Subject to subsection (d) of this section, if a sentence has commenced as (e)24provided under § 9–202(c)(2) of this article and the inmate is serving that sentence when 25the order of parole is revoked, any reimposed portion of the sentence originally imposed 26shall begin at the expiration of any sentences which were begun under § 9–202(c)(2) of this 27article. 28The inmate may seek judicial review in the circuit court within 30 days (f) (1)after receiving the written decision of the Commission. 2930 (2)The court shall hear the action on the record. 7 - 504. 31 32 In this section the following words have the meanings indicated. (a) (1)

1	(2)	"Tech	nical violation" has the meaning stated in § 6–101 of this article.			
2	(3)	"Tern	n of confinement" has the meaning stated in § $3-701$ of this article.			
${3 \atop 4} \\ {5 \atop 6}$	(b) (1) Subject to paragraph (3) of this subsection, the commissioner presiding at an individual's mandatory supervision revocation hearing may revoke diminution credits previously earned by the individual on the individual's term of confinement in accordance with the following schedule:					
7		(i)	not more than 15 days for a first technical violation;			
8		(ii)	not more than 30 days for a second technical violation;			
9		(iii)	not more than 45 days for a third technical violation; and			
10 11	violation or a viola	(iv) tion th	up to all remaining days for a fourth or subsequent technical at is not a technical violation.			
$12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15$	(2) Nothing in this section affects the prohibition against the application of diminution credits under  7–502 of this subtitle to the term of confinement of an inmate convicted and sentenced to imprisonment for a crime committed while on mandatory supervision.					
$16 \\ 17 \\ 18$	(3) (i) There is a rebuttable presumption that the limits on the revocation of diminution credits for a technical violation established in paragraph (1) of this subsection are applicable.					
19 20 21 22	(ii) The presumption may be rebutted if a commissioner finds and states on the record, after consideration of the following factors, that adhering to the limits on the revocation of diminution credits established under paragraph (1) of this subsection would create a risk to public safety, <b>THE INMATE</b> , a victim, or a witness:					
23			1. the nature of the mandatory supervision violation;			
$\begin{array}{c} 24 \\ 25 \end{array}$	inmate was convic	ted; an	2. the facts and circumstances of the crime for which the d			
26			3. the inmate's history.			
27 28 29	public safety, <b>TH</b> paragraph, the cor		On finding that adhering to the limits would create a risk to ATE, a victim, or a witness under subparagraph (ii) of this oner may:			
30 31	revoked than prov	ided in	1. direct that a greater number of diminution credits be paragraph (1) of this subsection; or			
32			2. commit the inmate to the Maryland Department of Health			

	6 HOUSE BILL 1217
1	for treatment under § 8–507 of the Health – General Article.
$2 \\ 3 \\ 4$	(IV) 1. WHEN DETERMINING WHETHER ADHERING TO THE LIMITS UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION WOULD CREATE A RISK TO THE INMATE, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING FACTORS:
5 6 7	A. WHETHER THERE IS A LESS RESTRICTIVE ALTERNATIVE TO IMPRISONMENT THAT WILL PROTECT THE INMATE, INCLUDING APPROPRIATE TREATMENT IN THE COMMUNITY;
8 9	B. IF TREATMENT IS AVAILABLE, WHETHER THE INMATE IS AMENABLE TO TREATMENT; AND
10 11	C. WHETHER A LONGER PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT WILL INCREASE THE RISK TO THE INMATE.
$12 \\ 13 \\ 14 \\ 15 \\ 16$	2. BEFORE REVOKING A GREATER NUMBER OF DIMINUTION CREDITS UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (III)1 OF THIS PARAGRAPH BECAUSE ADHERING TO THE LIMITS ON THE REVOCATION OF DIMINUTION CREDITS ESTABLISHED UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION WOULD CREATE A RISK TO THE INMATE, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL FIND AND STATE ON THE RECORD THAT:
17 18	A. THE COMMISSIONER HAS CONSIDERED THE FACTORS LISTED IN SUBSUBPARAGRAPH 1 OF THIS SUBPARAGRAPH; AND
$\begin{array}{c} 19\\ 20 \end{array}$	B. REVOKING A GREATER NUMBER OF DIMINUTION CREDITS IS THE BEST ALTERNATIVE FOR REDUCING THE RISK TO THE INMATE.
21 22 23	[(iv)] (V) A finding under subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph or an action under subparagraph (iii) of this paragraph is subject to appeal under Title 12, Subtitle 3 or Title 12, Subtitle 4 of the Courts Article.
24	Article – Criminal Law
25	5-601.
26 27 28 29	(e) (1) (i) Before imposing a sentence under subsection (c) of this section, the court may order the Maryland Department of Health or a certified [and] OR licensed designee to conduct an assessment of the defendant for substance use disorder and determine whether the defendant is in need of and may benefit from drug treatment.
$30 \\ 31 \\ 32$	(ii) If an assessment for substance use disorder is requested by the defendant and the court denies the request, the court shall state on the record the basis for the denial.

1

#### **Article – Criminal Procedure**

2 6-223.

3 (a) A circuit court or the District Court may end the period of probation at any 4 time.

5 (b) On receipt of written charges, filed under oath, that a probationer or 6 defendant violated a condition of probation during the period of probation, the District 7 Court may, during the period of probation or within 30 days after the violation, whichever 8 is later, issue a warrant or notice requiring the probationer or defendant to be brought or 9 appear before the judge issuing the warrant or notice:

10 (1) to answer the charge of violation of a condition of probation or of 11 suspension of sentence; and

12

(2) to be present for the setting of a timely hearing date for that charge.

13 (c) Pending the hearing or determination of the charge, a circuit court or the 14 District Court may remand the probationer or defendant to a correctional facility or release 15 the probationer or defendant with or without bail.

16 (d) If, at the hearing, a circuit court or the District Court finds that the 17 probationer or defendant has violated a condition of probation, the court may:

18

(1) revoke the probation granted or the suspension of sentence; and

19 (2) (i) subject to paragraph (e) of this subsection, for a technical 20 violation, impose a period of incarceration of:

1. not more than 15 days for a first technical violation;

22 2. not more than 30 days for a second technical violation; and

23

3. not more than 45 days for a third technical violation; and

(ii) for a fourth or subsequent technical violation or a violation that
is not a technical violation, impose any [sentence that might have originally been] OR ALL
OF THE UNSERVED PORTION OF THE SENTENCE ORIGINALLY imposed for the crime of
which the probationer or defendant was convicted or pleaded nolo contendere.

28 (e) (1) There is a rebuttable presumption that the limits on the period of 29 incarceration that may be imposed for a technical violation established under subsection 30 (d)(2) of this section are applicable.

31 (2) The presumption may be rebutted if the court finds and states on the 32 record, after consideration of the following factors, that adhering to the limits on the period

$\frac{1}{2}$	of incarceration established under subsection (d)(2) of this section would create a risk to public safety, <b>THE PROBATIONER OR DEFENDANT</b> , a victim, or a witness:					
3	(i) the nature of the probation violation;					
4	(ii) the fasts and simumatoress of the sums for which the					
$\frac{4}{5}$	(ii) the facts and circumstances of the crime for which the probationer or defendant was convicted; and					
0	probationer of defendant was convicted, and					
6	(iii) the probationer's or defendant's history.					
7	(3) On finding that adhering to the limits would create a risk to public					
8	safety, THE PROBATIONER OR DEFENDANT, a victim, or a witness under paragraph (2)					
9	of this subsection, the court may:					
10	(i) direct imposition of a longer period of incarceration than					
11	(i) direct imposition of a longer period of incarceration than provided under subsection (d)(2) of this section, but no more than the time remaining on					
12	the original sentence; or					
13	(ii) commit the probationer or defendant to the Maryland					
14	Department of Health for treatment under § 8–507 of the Health – General Article.					
15	(4) (I) WHEN DETERMINING WHETHER ADHERING TO THE LIMITS					
16	UNDER SUBSECTION (D)(2) OF THIS SECTION WOULD CREATE A RISK TO THE					
17	PROBATIONER OR DEFENDANT, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL CONSIDER THE					
18	FOLLOWING FACTORS:					
10	1					
19	1. WHETHER THERE IS A LESS RESTRICTIVE					
20	ALTERNATIVE TO IMPRISONMENT THAT WILL PROTECT THE PROBATIONER OR					
21	DEFENDANT, INCLUDING APPROPRIATE TREATMENT IN THE COMMUNITY;					
00						
22	2. IF TREATMENT IS AVAILABLE, WHETHER THE					
23	PROBATIONER OR DEFENDANT IS AMENABLE TO TREATMENT; AND					
24	<b>3.</b> WHETHER A LONGER PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT					
$\frac{24}{25}$	WILL INCREASE THE RISK TO THE PROBATIONER OR DEFENDANT.					
20	WILL INCREASE THE RISK TO THE FRODATIONER OR DEFENDANT.					
26	(II) <b>BEFORE IMPOSING A LONGER PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT</b>					
$\frac{20}{27}$	UNDER PARAGRAPH (3)(I) OF THIS SUBSECTION BECAUSE ADHERING TO THE LIMITS					
28	ON THE PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT ESTABLISHED UNDER SUBSECTION $(D)(2)$ OF					
20 29	THIS SECTION WOULD CREATE A RISK TO THE PROBATIONER OR DEFENDANT, THE					
$\frac{20}{30}$	COMMISSIONER SHALL FIND AND STATE ON THE RECORD THAT:					
50						
31	<b>1.</b> THE COMMISSIONER HAS CONSIDERED THE FACTORS					

$\frac{1}{2}$	ALTERNAT	IVE FC	R REI	2. A LONGER PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT IS THE BEST DUCING THE RISK TO THE PROBATIONER OR DEFENDANT.	
$3 \\ 4 \\ 5$	under parag Subtitle 4 of	graph		this subsection is subject to appeal under Title 12, Subtitle 3 or	
6	6-224.				
7 8	(a) court:	This	section	applies to a defendant who is convicted of a crime for which the	
9		(1)	does	not impose a sentence;	
10		(2)	suspe	ends the sentence generally;	
11		(3)	place	s the defendant on probation for a definite time; or	
12		(4)	passe	es another order and imposes other conditions of probation.	
$\begin{array}{c} 13\\14\\15\end{array}$	-	If a defendant is brought before a circuit court to be sentenced on the original for violating a condition of probation, and the judge then presiding finds that the violated a condition of probation, the judge:			
16		(1)	subje	ct to subsection (c) of this section, may sentence the defendant to:	
17 18 19	original ser ORIGINALL	-		[all or any part of the period of imprisonment imposed in the OR ALL OF THE UNSERVED PORTION OF THE SENTENCE or	
20 21	before; and		(ii)	any sentence allowed by law, if a sentence was not imposed	
$22 \\ 23 \\ 24$	-		on any	suspend all or part of a sentence and place the defendant on conditions that the judge considers proper, and that do not exceed § 6–222 of this subtitle.	
$25 \\ 26 \\ 27$	(c) defendant v impose a per		l a cor	ect to paragraph (2) of this subsection, if the court finds that the adition of probation that is a technical violation, the court may eration of:	
28			(i)	not more than 15 days for a first technical violation;	
29			(ii)	not more than 30 days for a second technical violation;	
30			(iii)	not more than 45 days for a third technical violation; and	

1 (iv) all or any part of the period of imprisonment imposed in the  $\mathbf{2}$ original sentence] ANY OR ALL OF THE UNSERVED PORTION OF THE SENTENCE **ORIGINALLY IMPOSED** for a fourth or subsequent technical violation. 3 4 (2)There is a rebuttable presumption that the limits on the period (i) of incarceration that may be imposed for a technical violation established in paragraph (1)  $\mathbf{5}$ of this subsection are applicable. 6 7 (ii) The presumption may be rebutted if the court finds and states on 8 the record, after consideration of the following factors, that adhering to the limits on the 9 period of incarceration established under paragraph (1) of this subsection would create a risk to public safety, THE DEFENDANT, a victim, or a witness: 10 11 1. the nature of the probation violation; 122.the facts and circumstances of the crime for which the 13defendant was convicted; and 143. the defendant's history. 15On finding that adhering to the limits would create a risk to (iii) public safety, THE DEFENDANT, a victim, or a witness under subparagraph (ii) of this 16 paragraph, the court may: 1718 direct imposition of a longer period of incarceration than 1. 19 provided in paragraph (1) of this subsection, but no more than the time remaining on the 20original sentence; or 21commit the defendant to the Maryland Department of 2. 22Health for treatment under § 8–507 of the Health – General Article. WHEN DETERMINING WHETHER ADHERING TO THE (IV) 1.

# (IV) 1. WHEN DETERMINING WHETHER ADHERING TO THE LIMITS UNDER PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION WOULD CREATE A RISK TO THE DEFENDANT, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL CONSIDER THE FOLLOWING FACTORS:

26A. WHETHER THERE IS A LESS RESTRICTIVE27ALTERNATIVE TO IMPRISONMENT THAT WILL PROTECT THE DEFENDANT,28INCLUDING APPROPRIATE TREATMENT IN THE COMMUNITY;

# 29 **B.** IF TREATMENT IS AVAILABLE, WHETHER THE 30 DEFENDANT IS AMENABLE TO TREATMENT; AND

31C.WHETHER A LONGER PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT32WILL INCREASE THE RISK TO THE DEFENDANT.

2. 1 BEFORE **IMPOSING** Α LONGER PERIOD OF  $\mathbf{2}$ IMPRISONMENT UNDER SUBPARAGRAPH (III)1 OF THIS PARAGRAPH BECAUSE 3 ADHERING TO THE LIMITS ON THE PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT ESTABLISHED UNDER 4 PARAGRAPH (1) OF THIS SUBSECTION WOULD CREATE A RISK TO THE DEFENDANT, THE COMMISSIONER SHALL FIND AND STATE ON THE RECORD THAT:  $\mathbf{5}$ 6 A. THE COMMISSIONER HAS CONSIDERED THE FACTORS 7 LISTED IN SUBSUBPARAGRAPH 1 OF THIS SUBPARAGRAPH; AND 8 **B**. A LONGER PERIOD OF IMPRISONMENT IS THE BEST 9 ALTERNATIVE FOR REDUCING THE RISK TO THE DEFENDANT. 10 A finding under subparagraph (ii) of this paragraph or an [(iv)] (V) action under subparagraph (iii) of this paragraph is subject to appeal under Title 12, 11 Subtitle 3 or Subtitle 4 of the Courts Article. 1213 (d) The District Court judge who originally imposed conditions of probation (1)or suspension of sentence shall hear any charge of violation of the conditions of probation 14or suspension of sentence. 1516Except as provided in paragraph (3) of this subsection, the judge shall (2)sentence the defendant if probation is revoked or suspension stricken. 1718(3)If the judge has been removed from office, has died or resigned, or is otherwise incapacitated, any other judge of the District Court may act in the matter. 19 20SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ENACTED, That this Act shall take effect 21October 1, 2020.